

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Do. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,664	07/09/2003	Chieh-Wei Lin	DEE-PT121	9323
3624 7	590 09/28/2004		EXAM	INER
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600			MAH, CHUCK Y	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103		3676	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	V/		
		10/6	615,664	LIN, CHIEH-WEI	P		
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit			
			ck Mah	3676			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears (	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress		
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (b) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum some ure to reply within the set or extended period for replace to reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. ununication. ununication within the ununication will apply ununicat	n no event, however, may the statutory minimum of the y and will expire SIX (6) Mit the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timel  DNTHS from the mailing date of this c  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .					
2a)□		2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn fro					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are applicant may not request that any objected that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the specific property of the specific property.	e: a) accepted ection to the drawing g the correction is i	g(s) be held in abeyonequired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 Cf	7 7		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have or documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in cuments have bee   Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	• •		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/615,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 7, it is not clear what "the other end" is referring to. The geometry of the handle is not clear defined to define "the other end".

Further, claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: lines 7-11 of the claim merely recite "a leaf spring". There is not sufficient information as how the "leaf spring structure is used for disengaging said handle from said case". The structural relationship between the spring, the case, and the frame is unclear. The invention as claimed cannot be understood structurally and functionally.

Note similar errors in claim 16 lines 8-13.

In claim 2, line 9, "two side pieces separately connected to..." cannot be understood. What is meant by "separately connected to"?

Art Unit: 3676

In claim 15, line 3, it cannot be understood what "said backside piece" and "said two side pieces" are referring to and how these pieces are structurally related to claims 14, 12 and 1.

In claim 16, line 5, "and further and further" should be "and further".

In claim 17, line 4, "13" is not understood.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, and 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller (6,185,106).

Mueller shows a case (230, 340), a frame (350) with protrusion (251), a handle having a stem (210), engaging portion (221), a leaf spring (215, 245), connecting portion (320), first protruding portion (217), a pressing portion (contact portion with spring 245), and opening (231).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,664 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller '106 in view of Cromwell (5,926,370).

'106 discloses the invention as claimed but for a handle symmetrically connected to the case. '370 teaches two handles (11) symmetrically connected to the case to guide, position and stabilize the sliding and positioning the case within the frame. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of '106 with a second handle symmetrically connected to the case to stabilize the sliding and positioning of the case within the frame.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2, 7-11, 15, 17 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (703) 308-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuck Mah
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3676